REMARKS

The Applicants thank the Examiner for the thorough consideration given the present application. Claims 1-38 are currently being prosecuted. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider his restriction requirement in view of the amendments and remarks as set forth hereinbelow.

ELECTION OF CLAIMS

The Examiner has set forth a restriction requirement with regard to claims 1-15. The grouping of the claims is set forth as follows:

GROUP	CLAIMS	CLASSIFICATION
I	1-36	Class 427, Subclass 100
П	37	Class 428, Subclass 1+
II	38	Class 361, Subclass 1+

In order to be responsive to the Examiner's restriction requirement the Applicants have elected Group I, claims 1-36 for initial examination. However, it is respectfully submitted that the restriction requirement is improper in view of the fact that no serious burden is presented to the Examiner to consider all of the claims in a single application.

Application No.: 10/680,229

Reply dated February 16, 2007

Reply to Restriction Requirement dated January 16, 2007

Docket No.: 4249-0112P

Art Unit: 1762

Page 3 of 4

In addition to the above reasons to consider all of the claims in a single application, as

set forth in Section 803 of the MPEP, the Examiner must examine an application on the merits

if the examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden. Two criteria

are identified for proper requirement for restriction:

1. The inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and

2. There must be a serious burden on the Examiner if the restriction is not required.

The Applicants respectfully submit that a serious burden has not been placed on the

Examiner to consider all of the claims in a single application. In order to be responsive to

the Examiner's restriction requirement, claims 1-36 have been initially elected. The Examiner is

respectfully requested to reconsider his restriction requirement and election of species

requirement and act on all of the claims in the present application. If the Examiner does persist

in his restriction requirement, the Applicants reserve the right to file a divisional application

directed to claims 37 and 38 at a later date if they so desire.

Favorable action on the present application is earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone Carl T. Thomsen (Reg. No. 50,786) at

(703) 208-4030 (Direct Line).

3

JMS/CTT/tmh

Application No.: 10/680,229 Reply dated February 16, 2007 Reply to Restriction Requirement dated January 16, 2007 Docket No.: 4249-0112P Art Unit: 1762 Page 4 of 4

Please charge any fees or credit any overpayment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 02-2448.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: February 16, 2007

James M. Slattery

Registration No.: 28,380

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Ca